## EFFECT OF NONISOTHERMICITY ON BOUNDARY-LAYER SEPARATION IN A VISCOUS INCOMPRESSIBLE LIQUID

E. F. Ozerova and L. M. Simuni

Inzhenerno-Fizicheskii Zhurnal, Vol. 14, No. 6, pp. 1060-1064, 1968

UDC 532.526

The effect of nonisothermicity on the characteristics of an incompressible boundary layer is investigated. It is assumed that the viscosity is temperature dependent.

The possibility of boundary-layer control based on variation of the coefficient of viscosity was examined in [1,2]. More recently, the question of the effect of nonisothermicity on the characteristics of a compressible boundary layer, particularly separation, has received considerable attention. This work is reviewed in [3]. The present note is concerned with the effect of nonisothermicity on the characteristics of an incompressible boundary layer, when the viscosity depends on temperature. The investigation is based on a numerical finite-difference solution (see [4]).

Reduced to dimensionless form, the equations of the steady-state boundary layer are written as follows:

$$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right),$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0,$$
$$\Pr\left( u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + D \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2,$$
$$\mu = \mu (T) \tag{1}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$u = v = 0, \quad T = \frac{T_0(x) - A}{B - A} \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0,$$
$$u = U(x), \quad T = \frac{T_1(x) - A}{B - A} \quad \text{at} \quad y = \infty,$$
$$u = V(y), \quad T = \frac{\Theta(y) - A}{B - A} \quad \text{at} \quad x = 0,$$

where  $D = \mu_0 U_0^2 / \rho c_p J a \Delta T$ ;  $\Delta T = B - A$ ;  $Pr = \nu / a$ ; A and B are given constants; U(x), V(y),  $T_0(x)$ ,  $T_1(x)$ ,  $\Theta(y)$  are given functions.

To integrate system (1), we use the method of finite differences [4], employing an absolutely stable implicit scheme.

Then system (1) may be approximated by the following difference system:

$$u_{i-1,k} \frac{u_{i,k} - u_{i-1,k}}{\Delta x} + v_{i-1,k} \frac{u_{i-1,k+1} - u_{i-1,k-1}}{2\Delta y} = \left(U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\right)_{i-1} + v_{i-1,k} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = \left(U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\right)_{i-1} + v_{i-1,k} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + v_{i-1,k} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + v_{i-1,k} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + v_{i-$$

with the corresponding boundary conditions:  $x_i = i\Delta x$ ,  $y_k = k\Delta y$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, K$ .

If the values of  $u_{i-1,k}$ ,  $v_{i-1,k}$ ,  $T_{i-1,k}$  are known for some i, the third equation of system (2) reduces to the form

$$a_{k}T_{i,k-1} - 2b_{k}T_{i,k} + c_{k}T_{i,k+1} = g_{k}, \qquad (3)$$

where  $a_k$ ,  $b_k$ ,  $c_k$ ,  $g_k$  are known quantities. We solve (3) by the pivotal method [5]. Having solved system (3), we determine  $T_{i,k}$ . After determining  $T_{i,k}$  we deal similarly with the first equation of system (2).

After having found  $u_{i,k}$  we determine  $v_{i,k}$  from the second equation of system (2). We then proceed to determine  $T_{i+1,k}$ ,  $u_{i+1,k}$ ,  $v_{i+1,k}$ , etc.

The calculations were made for the case in which U(x) = 1 - x, V(y) = 1,  $T_0(x) = A$ ,  $T_1(x) = \Theta(y) = B$ . Instead of the condition u = U(x) at  $y = \infty$  we took the condition  $\partial u/\partial y = 0$  at  $y_k = K\Delta y$ , the point K being so selected that the condition  $u_{i,k} = U(x_i)$  was satisfied with given accuracy.

It was assumed that the viscosity depends on temperature according to the Bachinskii formula  $\mu(T) = = \mu_0 / (b_1 + b_2 T)$ . All the calculations were made for lubricating oil, whose characteristics were taken from [6].

The following cases were considered:

1) viscosity independent of temperature:  $\mu(T) = 1$ ; 2) viscosity dependent on temperature: a) wall temperature 20° C, freestream temperature 40° C. For this case

$$\mu(T) = \frac{1}{1+2.24T}, \quad A = 20^{\circ}, \quad B = 40^{\circ};$$

b) wall temperature 40° C, freestream temperature 20° C. For this case,

$$\mu(T) = \frac{1}{1 - 0.69T}, \quad A = 40^{\circ}, \ B = 20^{\circ}$$

The location of the separation point was determined from the condition  $\partial u/\partial y|_{y=0} = 0$ , which in finite-difference form becomes

$$\frac{-3u_{i,0}+4u_{i,1}-u_{i,2}}{2\Delta y}=0.$$

Calculations gave the following location of the separation points:

$$0.1250 > x_{sep} > 0.1225 \text{ for } \mu = 1;$$
  

$$0.09750 > x_{sep} > 0.09625 \text{ for } \mu = \frac{1}{1 + 2.24T};$$
  

$$0.16750 > x_{sep} > 0.16625 \text{ for } \mu = \frac{1}{1 - 0.69T}.$$

Clearly, when the viscosity is temperature-dependent, the nonisothermicity has an important influence on the location of the separation points. In particular, when the wall is heated the separation point is shifted considerably downstream.

Figure 1 shows the velocity profiles at the point x = 0.05, while Fig. 2 shows the profiles near the separation points. It is clear from Fig. 1 that when the wall temperature is lower than the freestream temperature the velocity profile has a point of inflection. This leads to earlier separation. When the wall temperature is lower [sic] than the freestream temperature, a point of inflection appears only near the sep-



1)  $\mu = 1; 2) 1/1 + 2.24T; 3) 1/1 - 0.69T.$ 

aration point (Fig. 2). Figure 3 shows how the nonisothermicity of the flow affects the displacement thick-

ness  $\delta^* = \frac{1}{U} \int_{0}^{u} (U-u) dx$ . The integral was evaluated

numerically from  $y_0$  to  $y_k = K \Delta y$  according to the trapezoidal rule. Clearly, cooling the wall reduces and heating it increases the thickness of the boundary layer.

In the computations the steps  $\Delta x$ ,  $\Delta y$ , and K were so chosen that within the accuracy selected a decrease in  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$  and an increase in K had no effect on the results. We finally selected  $\Delta x = 0.0003125$ ,  $\Delta y =$ = 0.02, K = 201 for the cases  $\mu(T) = 1$  and  $\mu(T) =$ = 1/(1 + 2.24T), K = 301 for the case  $\mu(T) = 1/1 -$ - 0.69T.



Fig. 2. Velocity profiles near separation points: 1)  $\mu = 1$  at x = 0.1225; 2) 1/1 + 2.24T at x = 0.09625; 3) 1/1 - -0.69T at x = 0.16625.



549

In the calculations whose results are presented above we took Pr = 7 and D = 0 in view of the smallness of D at the selected values of the parameters.

The calculations were performed on a Minsk-2 computer.

## NOTATION

u and v are velocity components; T is the temperature; U is the velocity of the potential flow;  $\mu$  is the coefficient of viscosity;  $\rho$  is the density of the fluid; J is the mechanical equivalent of heat; *a* is the thermal diffusivity;  $\nu$  is the kinematic coefficient of viscosity; U<sub>0</sub>,  $\mu_0$ , *l*, A, B are characteristic constants;  $\delta^*$  is the displacement thickness of the boundary layer; Re = = U<sub>0</sub>*l*/ $\nu$ ; Pr =  $\nu/a$ ; D =  $\mu_0 U_0^2 / \rho C_p J a \Delta T$ ;  $\Delta T$  = B - A; c<sub>0</sub> is the specific heat at constant pressure.

## REFERENCES

1. L. G. Loitsyanskii, PMM, vol. VI, no. 3, 74, 1942.

2. K. K. Fedyaevskii, Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matematich., nos. 9-10, 25, 1943.

3. M. Morduchow, AIAA Journ., 3, no. 8, p. 3.

4. V. M. Paskonov, collection: Numerical Methods in Gasdynamics [in Russian], Izd. MGU, p. 110, 1963.

5. S. K. Godunov and V. S. Ryaben'kii, Introduction to the Theory of Difference Schemes [in Russian], Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1962.

6. S. M. Targ, Fundamental Problems of the Theory of Laminar Flows [in Russian], GITTL, Moscow-Leningrad, 1951.

20 September 1967

Leningrad Section, Central Institute of Economics and Mathematics AS USSR